

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,924	07/14/2003	Timothy B. Rhyne	P50-0111	7977
7590 08/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Alan A. Csontos			STORMER, RUSSELL D	
Michelin North America, Inc. P.O. Box 2026			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Greenville, SC 29602			3617	
		·	DATE MAILED: 08/12/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/618,924	RHYNE ET AL.	L			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•			
	Russell D. Stormer	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on., a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this constant of the constant of t	y. ommunication. 、			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un			e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 July 2003</u> is/ar	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection t						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. Iments have been received in e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)	Δ) ∏ 1-4:····	s Summary (DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	48) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/9 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/618,924

Art Unit: 3617

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "reinforcing ply" of claim 2 and the "tensile force transmitting elements... comprising web spokes extending transversely across the compliant band" as set forth in claim 8 must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,924

Art Unit: 3617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 2, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by De Longcamp.

With respect to claim 2, note Example 2 and figures 8-10 described in columns 11 and 12.

4. Claims 1, 6, and 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cozatt.

With respect to claim 8, the spokes of Cozatt are considered to be "web spokes" inasmuch as the term is defined in the claims.

With respect to claims 11-13, the spokes are oriented at opposite oblique angles and form a zig-zag or repeating X-pattern as shown in figures 1 and 5.

5. Claims 1 and 8-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Palinkas et al (US Patent 4832098).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/618,924

Art Unit: 3617

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 3, 4, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Longcamp.

The shear modulus of the reinforcing cord and the elastic modulus of the compliant band are considered to be obvious mechanical expedients because those of ordinary skill in the art could readily determine suitable properties for the tread and the reinforcing cords based on the intended use of the wheel.

With respect to claims 4 and 5, the exact location of the reinforcing ply is considered to be a design expedient based on the desired properties of the wheel and therefore would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 3617

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references show other compliant wheels.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell D. Stormer whose telephone number is (703) 308-3768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

8/5/04

RUSSELL D. STORMER